

2 of 3

**EVERGREEN POLYGRAPH INC.**

RICHARD FREERIN, POLYGRAPH EXAMINER

120 STATE AVE. NE, BOX 1026  
OLYMPIA, WA 98501-8212  
(360) 943-8337/933-0474 FAX

Janet Irons  
26002 SE 36<sup>th</sup> St  
Sammish, WA 98075

<b>Client:</b>	<b>Janet Irons</b>
<b>Date:</b>	<b>October 26, 2005</b>
<b>Exam:</b>	<b>Backster "Exploratory"</b>
<b>Test Results:</b>	<b>No Deception Indicated</b>
<b>Professional Opinion:</b>	<b>Truthful</b>

Dear Mrs. Irons,

Pursuant to your request a polygraph examination was given to the above named individual on October 26, 2005. The Backster Zone Comparison technique and calibrated four-channel Lafayette computerized instrument were used.

The purpose of the examination was to determine if you have been truthful about an assault against you by your son David, in 1994?

Based on the physiological responses produced by the subject on two polygraph charts, in the opinion of this examiner the subject was not attempting deception when she answered "NO" to the following relevant questions.

- 43. Did you lie to me when you said your son David assaulted you?**
- 44. Did you lie to me about being assaulted by your son in 1994?**
- 45. Did you lie to me when you said you were not having a diabetic reaction when your son assaulted you in 1994?**

Prior to the instrumentation phase of the examination all the questions were a matter of discussion with the client to ensure clarity and a common meaning.

Using the Backster Exploratory Zone Comparison Question technique requires a plus three (+3) when answering the relevant questions for a truthful result. A careful numerical evaluation of the clients test data produced the following;

- Question 43: +4 (No deception indicated)
- Question 44: +3 (No deception indicated)
- Question 45: +3 (No deception indicated)

I did not find any physical or emotional condition which would preclude a valid result during the pre-test interview.

Respectfully Submitted,



Marty Gunderson

## BACKSTER "EXPLORATORY" EXAMINATION

Janet Irons

10/26/05

- |     |  |
|-----|--|
| 13: | IS YOUR FIRST NAME JANET?  |
| 14: | WERE YOU BORN IN 1932?   |
| 25: | DO YOU BELIEVE ME WHEN I PROMISE I WON'T ASK A QUESTION WE HAVEN'T GONE OVER?                                    |
| 39: | REFERENCE BEING ASSAULTED BY YOUR SON, DO YOU INTEND TO ANSWER TRUTHFULLY EACH QUESTION I ASK ABOUT THAT?        |
| 46: | DURING THE FIRST 30 YEARS OF YOUR LIFE, DO YOU REMEMBER EVER LYING TO GET OUT OF TROUBLE?                        |
| 43: | DID YOU LIE TO ME WHEN YOU SAID YOUR SON DAVID ASSAULTED YOU?  |
| 47: | BETWEEN THE AGES OF 40 AND 55, DO YOU REMEMBER EVER LYING TO A PERSON OF AUTHORITY?                              |
| 44: | DID YOU LIE TO ME WHEN YOU SAID YOUR SON ASSAULTED YOU IN 1994?  |
| 48: | DURING THE FIRST 70 YEARS OF YOUR LIFE, DO YOU REMEMBER EVER LYING TO A FAMILY MEMBER ABOUT SOMETHING IMPORTANT? |
| 45: | DID YOU LIE TO ME WHEN YOU SAID YOU WERE NOT HAVING A DIABETIC REACTION WHEN YOUR SON ASSAULTED YOU IN 1994?     |
| 26: | EVEN THOUGH I PROMISED I WOULD NOT ARE YOU AFRAID I'LL ASK A QUESTION WE HAVEN'T DISCUSSED?                      |

NEUTRAL QUESTIONS:	13, 14,
SYMPTOMATIC QUESTIONS:	25, 26
CONTROL QUESTIONS:	46, 47, 48
RELEVANT QUESTIONS:	43, 44 & 45 (39 PREPARATORY RELEVANT)

PRE-TEST INTERVIEW

ATTORNEY/CLIENT WORK PRODUCT

**Official version:** The client told me she was assaulted by her son in 1994. She said he had pushed her down and pulled the phone from the wall to prevent her from calling 911.

**Client version:** The client told me her son has been saying he was victimized by his mother for political gain. She said he has been using this for eleven years. She went on to say they were in an argument one day in 1994 while he was in their employ. She told him to leave but he would not. He said he wanted to make some phone calls and went into his father's office. She followed him in and hung the phone up on him. He got angry and pushed her down by swinging his arm striking her and knocking her to the floor. She got up and said she was calling 911. She left the office and parked down the street. She said he called his dad and told him he had hit his mother. She waited a short time and waived down another family member that was coming to work.

The client wants the polygraph to show her son did in fact strike her and she was not having a diabetic reaction as he alleges.

## RICHARD PEREGRIN

- Graduate Backster School of Lie Detection - 1984
- Memberships: American Polygraph Association since 1984  
Northwest Polygraph Association since 1984  
Assoc. for Treatment of Sexual Abusers (ATSA)  
Wash. Assoc. Treatment of Sexual Abusers (WATSA)  
National certification number #038  
Nationally Certified for Sex Offender Testing
- Backster advanced polygraph seminars 1984-1986-1988-2001
- Northwest Polygraph seminars 1984-2002
- Past Chairman - NPEA Polygraph Legislative Committee
- Advisor - NPEA Legislative Committee on Polygraph Licensing  
NPEA Standards and Ethics Committee
- Recipient NPEA John D. Jenkins Award for Outstanding contributions  
and dedication to NPEA polygraph issues.
- Western State Hospital Sex Offender Treatment Program consulting  
polygraphist
- Sexual treatment providers consulting polygraphist
- Twenty-six years experience as a Trooper and Detective with the  
Washington State Patrol.
- Washington State Patrol instructor in art of Interviewing and Interrogation using  
behavior symptoms analysis.
- Attended Association for Treatment of Sexual Abusers (ATSA) conference  
1989, 1992, 1996.
- 35 years experience with Adolescent offenders
- 35 years experience with Adult offenders
- Administered over thirteen thousand specific polygraph examinations
- Polygraph contract clients: DSHS -Child Protective Service  
DSHS - Juvenile Rehabilitation  
Dept. of Corrections, Adult Parole  
Law Enforcement & Criminal Defense